

APPENDIX

Using single column to estimate parameters

Let us define a column of smooth native image content as $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times 1}$, and an embedding ENF signal as \mathbf{e} , where

$$e(i) = A_g \cos \left(2\pi \frac{f_g}{f_{row}} \cdot i + \phi_g \right). \quad (2)$$

We define $\mathbf{p}_g = [A_g, f_g, \phi_g]^T$ to be a vector of the ground truth parameters. The corrupted sensing signal is therefore $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{e}$. We set up a cost function to estimate \mathbf{p} as follows:

$$J_k(\mathbf{p}) = \text{entropy} \left(\text{hist} \left[\left\{ x^{(k)}(i) + r(i; \mathbf{p}_g, \mathbf{p}) \right\}_{i=1}^N \right] \right) \quad (3a)$$

$$= \text{entropy} \left(\text{hist} \left[\mathbf{x}^{(k)} + \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p}_g, \mathbf{p}) \right] \right), \quad (3b)$$

where $r(i; \mathbf{p}_g, \mathbf{p}) = e(i) - A \cos \left(2\pi \frac{f}{f_{row}} \cdot i + \phi \right)$. We denote $\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ as a numerical suboptimal solution to the optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{p}} J_k(\mathbf{p}). \quad (4)$$

By taking into consideration the randomness of the image, the sub-optimality of the numerical algorithm for finding the optimal solution, we model $\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ as a random vector with a small bias \mathbf{b} and a positive definite variance-covariance matrix Σ , namely,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right] = \mathbf{p}_g + \mathbf{b}, \quad \text{VarCov} \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right) = \Sigma. \quad (5)$$

Using multiple columns to improve the accuracy of the estimation

We use a random sample $(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(K)})$ to improve the accuracy of the estimation. We use $\hat{\mathbf{p}} \left(\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \right)$ to denote a numerical suboptimal solution to the multi-column optimization problem

$$\min_{\mathbf{p}} \sum_{k=1}^K J_k(\mathbf{p}). \quad (6)$$

We conduct Taylor-series expansion around $\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$ for $J_k(p)$, and obtain

$$J_k(\mathbf{p}) = J_k \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right) + \left[\mathbf{p} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right]^T \nabla J_k \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\mathbf{p} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right]^T \nabla^2 J_k \left[\mathbf{p} - \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $\nabla^2 J_k$ is a Hessian matrix whose individual components are 2nd-order partial derivatives evaluated near $\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)})$. We further assume $J_k(\cdot)$ is continuous hence $\nabla^2 J_k$ is a symmetric matrix.

Substituting the Taylor expanded cost function for each column in (7) into the multi-column cost function in (6), and by setting the gradient with respect to \mathbf{p} to zero, we obtain the optimal analytical

solution to (6) as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} \left(\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \right) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \nabla^2 J_k \right)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \left[\nabla^2 J_k \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \nabla J_k \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) \right) \right] \right\} \quad (8a)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{W}_k \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}) - \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \nabla^2 J_k \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \nabla J_k \right) \quad (8b)$$

$$\approx \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{W}_k \hat{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{x}^{(k)}), \quad (8c)$$

where $\mathbf{W}_k = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \nabla^2 J_k \right)^{-1} \nabla^2 J_k$. The sample average of gradients in (8b) converges to $\mathbf{0}$ in distribution as K increases. Hence,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\hat{\mathbf{p}} \left(\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \right) \right] \approx \mathbf{p}_g + \mathbf{b}, \quad (9)$$

$$\text{VarCov} \left(\hat{\mathbf{p}} \left(\{\mathbf{x}^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^K \right) \right) \approx \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{W}_k \Sigma \mathbf{W}_k^T. \quad (10)$$

The above results reveal that by using K columns, the estimator has a significantly reduced variance and a similar bias as the single column case.

In the 1-d case, the variance formula is degenerated to $\text{Var}(\hat{p}) \approx \left(\sum_{k=1}^K w_k^2 \right) \sigma^2$. When the weight vector is uniform, i.e., $w_i = \frac{1}{K}$, the best variance reduction is achieved, i.e., $\text{Var}(\hat{p}) \approx \sigma^2 / K$.