## Statistical Signal Processing 4. Linear Prediction

Dr. Chau-Wai Wong

#### Electrical & Computer Engineering North Carolina State University

Readings: Haykin 4th Ed. 3.1-3.3

Contact: *chauwai.wong@ncsu.edu*. Updated: October 26, 2020. Acknowledgment: ECE792-41 slides were adapted from ENEE630 slides developed by Profs. K.J. Ray Liu and Min Wu at the University of Maryland.

Dr. Chau-Wai Wong ECE792-41 Statistical SP & ML 1/31

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Review of Last Section: FIR Wiener Filtering



Two perspectives leading to the optimal filter's condition (NE):

- write  $J(\underline{a})$  to have a perfect square
- ②  $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_k^*} = 0$  ⇒ principle of orthogonality  $\mathbb{E}[e[n]x^*[n-k]] = 0$ , k = 0, ...M - 1.

2/31

- 4.1 Forward Linear Prediction
- 4.2 Backward Linear Prediction
- 4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Recap: Principle of Orthogonality

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e[n]x^*[n-k]\right] = 0 \text{ for } k = 0, \dots M - 1.$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \mathbb{E}\left[d[n]x^*[n-k]\right] = \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} a_{\ell} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[x[n-\ell]x^*[n-k]\right]$$
  

$$\Rightarrow r_{dx}(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} a_{\ell}r_x(k-\ell) \Rightarrow \text{Normal Equation } \underline{p}^* = \mathbf{R}^T \underline{a}$$
  

$$J_{min} = \text{Var}(d[n]) - \text{Var}(\hat{d}[n])$$
  
where  $\text{Var}(\hat{d}[n]) = \mathbb{E}\left[\hat{d}[n]\hat{d}^*[n]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{a}^T \underline{x}[n]\underline{x}^H[n]\underline{a}^*\right] = \underline{a}^T \mathbf{R}_x \underline{a}^*$   
bring in N.E. for  $\underline{a} \Rightarrow \text{Var}(\hat{d}[n]) = \underline{a}^T p = p^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} p$ 

May also use the vector form to derive N.E.: set gradient  $\nabla_{a^*}J = 0$ 

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Forward Linear Prediction

Recall last section: FIR Wiener filter  $W(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} a_k z^{-k}$ 

Let  $c_k \triangleq a_k^*$  (i.e.,  $c_k^*$  represents the filter coefficients and helps us to avoid many conjugates in the normal equation)

Given u[n-1], u[n-2], ..., u[n-M], we are interested in estimating u[n] with a linear predictor:



This structure is called "tapped delay line": individual outputs of each delay are tapped out and diverted into the multipliers of the filter/predictor.

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Forward Linear Prediction



$$\hat{u}[n|\mathbb{S}_{n-1}] = \sum_{k=1}^{M} c_k^* u[n-k] = \underline{c}^H \underline{u}[n-1]$$

 $S_{n-1} \text{ denotes the } M \text{-dimensional space spanned by the samples}$   $u[n-1], \dots, u[n-M], \text{ and}$   $\underline{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_M \end{bmatrix}, \quad \underline{u}[n-1] = \begin{bmatrix} u[n-1] \\ u[n-2] \\ \vdots \\ u[n-M] \end{bmatrix} \qquad \underbrace{\underline{u}[n-1] \text{ is vector form for}}_{\text{General Wiener}}$ 

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Forward Prediction Error

- The forward prediction error  $f_M[n] = u[n] - \hat{u}[n|S_{n-1}]$  $e[n] \quad d[n] \quad \leftarrow \text{From general Wiener filter notation}$
- The minimum mean-squared prediction error

 $P_M = \mathbb{E}\left[|f_M[n]|^2\right]$ 

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## **Optimal Weight Vector**

To obtain optimal weight vector <u>c</u>, apply Wiener filtering theory:

Obtain the correlation matrix:

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{u}[n-1]\underline{u}^{H}[n-1]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{u}[n]\underline{u}^{H}[n]
ight]$$
 (by stationarity)

where 
$$\underline{u}[n] = \left[ \begin{array}{c} u[n] \\ u[n-1] \\ \vdots \\ u[n-M+1] \end{array} \right]$$

**②** Obtain the "cross correlation" vector between the tap inputs and the desired output d[n] = u[n]:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{u}[n-1]u^*[n]\right] = \begin{bmatrix} r(-1) \\ r(-2) \\ \vdots \\ r(-M) \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \underline{r}$$

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### **Optimal Weight Vector**

#### Thus the Normal Equation for FLP is

The prediction error is

$$P_M = r(0) - \underline{r}^H \underline{c}$$

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

#### Relation: N.E. for FLP vs. Yule-Walker eq. for AR



• Normal Equation for FLP:  $\mathbf{R}\underline{c} = \underline{r}$ 

• Yule-Walker Eq. for AR proc:  $r_x[k] = -\sum_{\ell=1}^p a[\ell]r_x[k-\ell]$  for  $k \ge 1$ 



 $\Rightarrow$  N.E. is in the same form as the Yule-Walker equation for AR

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Relation: N.E. for FLP vs. Yule-Walker eq. for AR

If the forward linear prediction is applied to an AR process of known model order M and optimized in MSE sense, its tap weights in theory take on the same values as the corresponding parameter of the AR process.

- Not surprising: the equation defining the forward prediction and the difference equation defining the AR process have the same mathematical form.
- When u[n] process is not AR, the predictor provides only an approximation of the process.

 $\Rightarrow$  This provide a way to test if u[n] is an AR process (through examining the whiteness of prediction error e[n]); and if so, determine its order and AR parameters.

Question: Optimal predictor for  $\{u[n]\}=AR(p)$  when p < M?

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

-

\_

#### Forward-Prediction-Error Filter

$$f_{\mathcal{M}}[n] = u[n] - \underline{c}^{\mathcal{H}}\underline{u}[n-1]$$

Let 
$$a_{M,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ -c_k & k = 1, 2, \dots, M \end{cases}$$
, i.e.,  $\underline{a}_M \triangleq \begin{bmatrix} a_{M,0} \\ \vdots \\ a_{M,M} \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$\Rightarrow f_M[n] = \sum_{k=0}^M a_{M,k}^* u[n-k] = \underline{a}_M^H \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}[n] \\ u[n-M] \end{bmatrix}$$



4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Augmented Normal Equation for FLP

From the above results:  $\begin{cases}
\mathbf{R}\underline{c} = \underline{r} & \text{Normal Equation or Wiener-Hopf Equation} \\
P_M = r(0) - \underline{r}^H \underline{c} & \text{prediction error}
\end{cases}$ 

Put together:

$$\underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{cc} r(0) & \underline{r}^{H} \\ \underline{r} & \mathbf{R}_{M} \end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{R}_{M+1}} \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ -\underline{c} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} P_{M} \\ \underline{0} \end{array}\right]$$

Augmented N.E. for FLP

$$\mathbf{R}_{M+1}\underline{a}_{M} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{M} \\ \underline{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction
4.2 Backward Linear Prediction
4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Summary of Forward Linear Prediction

	General Wiener	Forward LP	Backward LP
Tap input			
Desired response			
(conj) Weight vector			
Estimated sig			
Estimation error			
Correlation matrix			
Cross-corr vector			
MMSE			
Normal Equation			
Augmented N.E.			



4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Backward Linear Prediction

Given  $u[n], u[n-1], \ldots, u[n-M+1]$ , we are interested in estimating u[n-M].

Backward prediction error  $b_M[n] = u[n - M] - \hat{u}[n - M|S_n]$ 

•  $S_n$ : span  $\{u[n], u[n-1], ..., u[n-M+1]\}$ 

Minimize mean-square prediction error  $P_{M,BLP} = \mathbb{E}\left[|b_M[n]|^2\right]$ 



4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Backward Linear Prediction

Let g denote the optimal weight vector (conjugate) of the BLP: i.e.,  $\hat{u}[n-M] = \sum_{k=1}^{M} g_k^* u[n+1-k]$ .

To solve for g, we need

- Correlation matrix  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{E}\left[\underline{u}[n]\underline{u}^{H}[n]\right]$
- ② Crosscorrelation vector

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\underline{u}[n]u^*[n-M]\right] = \begin{bmatrix} r(M) \\ r(M-1) \\ \vdots \\ r(1) \end{bmatrix} \triangleq \underline{r}^{B^*}$$

Normal Equation for BLP

$$\mathbf{R}\underline{g} = \underline{r}^{B*}$$

The BLP prediction error:  $P_{M,BLP} = r(0) - (\underline{r}^B)^T g$ 

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Relations between FLP and BLP

Recall the NE for FLP:  $\mathbf{R}\underline{c} = \underline{r}$ 

Rearrange the NE for BLP backward:  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^T g^B = \underline{r}^*$ 



$$\mathsf{Conjugate} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{H}\underline{g}^{B^{*}} = \underline{r} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}\underline{g}^{B^{*}} = \underline{r}$$

 $\therefore$  optimal predictors of FLP:  $\underline{c} = \underline{g}^{B^*}$ , or equivalently  $\underline{g} = \underline{c}^{B^*}$ 

By reversing the order & complex conjugating  $\underline{c}$ , we obtain g.

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

#### Relations between FLP and BLP

$$P_{M,\text{BLP}} = r(0) - (\underline{r}^B)^T \underline{g} = r(0) - (\underline{r}^B)^T \underline{c}^{B^*} = r(0) - \underbrace{\left[\underline{r}^H \underline{c}\right]}_{\text{real, scalar}} \overset{B^*}{=} r(0) - \underline{r}^H \underline{c} = P_{M,\text{FLP}}$$

This relation is not surprising:

the process is w.s.s. (s.t.  $r(k) = r^*(-k)$ ), and the optimal prediction error depends only on the process' statistical property.

\* Recall from Wiener filtering:  $J_{\min} = \sigma_d^2 - \underline{\rho}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \underline{\rho}$ (FLP)  $\underline{r}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \underline{r}$ (BLP)  $\underline{r}^{B^{*H}} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \underline{r}^{B^*} = (\underline{r}^H \mathbf{R}^{T^{*-1}} \underline{r})^{B^*} = \underline{r}^H \mathbf{R}^{-1} \underline{r}$ 

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Backward-Prediction-Error Filter

$$b_M[n] = u[n - M] - \sum_{k=1}^{M} g_k^* u[n + 1 - k]$$

Using the  $a_{i,j}$  notation defined earlier and  $g_k = -a_{M,M+1-k}^*$ :

$$b_{M}[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{M} a_{M,M-k} u[n-k]$$
$$= \underline{a}_{M}^{BT} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{u}[n] \\ u[n-M] \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \underline{a}_{M} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{M,0} \\ \vdots \\ a_{M,M} \end{bmatrix}$$



4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Augmented Normal Equation for BLP

Bring together 
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{R}\underline{g} = \underline{r}^{B^*} \\ P_M = r(0) - (\underline{r}^B)^T \underline{g} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{R} & \underline{r}^{B^*} \\ (\underline{r}^B)^T & r(0) \end{array}\right]}_{\mathbf{R}_{M+1}} \left[\begin{array}{c} -\underline{g} \\ 1 \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} \underline{0} \\ P_M \end{array}\right]$$

#### Augmented N.E. for BLP

$$\mathbf{R}_{M+1}\underline{a}_{M}^{B^{*}} = \left[\begin{array}{c}\underline{0}\\P_{M}\end{array}\right]$$

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Summary of Backward Linear Prediction

	General Wiener	Forward LP	Backward LP
Tap input			
Desired response			
(conj) Weight vector			
Estimated sig			
Estimation error			
Correlation matrix			
Cross-corr vector			
MMSE			
Normal Equation			
Augmented N.E.			



4.1 Forward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

(Ref: Haykin 4th Ed. §4.4 (5) Property)

Conceptually: The best predictor tries to explore the predictable traces from a set of (past) given values onto the future value, leaving only the unforeseeable parts as the prediction error.

Also recall the principle of orthogonality: the prediction error is statistically uncorrelated with the samples used in the prediction.

As we increase the order of the prediction-error filter, the correlation between its adjacent outputs is reduced. If the order is high enough, the output errors become approximately a white process (i.e., be "whitened").

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction

4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Analysis and Synthesis

From forward prediction results on the  $\{u[n]\}$  process:

$$\begin{cases} u[n] + a_{M,1}^* u[n-1] + \ldots + a_{M,M}^* u[n-M] = f_M[n] & \text{Analysis} \\ \hat{u}[n] = -a_{M,1}^* u[n-1] - \ldots - a_{M,M}^* u[n-M] + v[n] & \text{Synthesis} \end{cases}$$

Here v[n] may be quantized version of  $f_M[n]$ , or regenerated from white noise

If  $\{u[n]\}$  sequence has high correlation between adjacent samples, then  $f_M[n]$  will have a much smaller dynamic range than u[n].

4.1 Forward Linear Prediction4.2 Backward Linear Prediction4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

#### Compression tool #3: Predictive Coding

Recall two compression tools from Part-1:

(1) lossless: decimate a bandlimited signal; (2) lossy: quantization.

**Tool #3: Linear Prediction.** we can first figure out the best predictor for a chunk of approximately stationary samples, encode the first sample, then do prediction and encode the prediction residues (as well as the prediction parameters).

The structures of analysis and synthesis of linear prediction form a matched pair.

This is the basic principle behind Linear Prediction Coding (LPC) for transmission and reconstruction of digital speech signals.

- 4.1 Forward Linear Prediction
- 4.2 Backward Linear Prediction
- 4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

### Linear Prediction: Analysis

$$u[n] + a_{M,1}^* u[n-1] + \ldots + a_{M,M}^* u[n-M] = f_M[n]$$



If  $\{f_M[n]\}\$  is white (i.e., the correlation among  $\{u[n], u[n-1], \ldots\}\$  values have been completely explored), then the process  $\{u[n]\}\$  can be statistically characterized by  $\underline{a}_M$  vector, plus the mean and variance of  $f_M[n]$ .

- 4.1 Forward Linear Prediction
- 4.2 Backward Linear Prediction
- 4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

## Linear Prediction: Synthesis

$$\hat{u}[n] = -a_{M,1}^* u[n-1] - \ldots - a_{M,M}^* u[n-M] + v[n]$$



If  $\{v[n]\}$  is a white noise process, the synthesis output  $\{u[n]\}$  using linear prediction is an AR process with parameters  $\{a_{M,k}\}$ .

- 4.1 Forward Linear Prediction 4.2 Backward Linear Prediction
- 4.3 Whitening Property of Linear Prediction

# LPC Encoding of Speech Signals

- Partition speech signal into frames s.t. within a frame it is approximately stationary
- Analyze a frame to obtain a compact representation of the linear prediction parameters, and some parameters characterizing the prediction residue  $f_M[n]$

(if more b.w. is available and higher quality is desirable, we may also include some coarse representation of  $f_M[n]$  by quantization)

- This gives much more compact representation than simple digitization (PCM coding): e.g., 64kbps → 2.4k-4.8kbps
- A decoder uses the synthesis structure to reconstruct the speech signal, with a suitable driving sequence (periodic impulse train for voiced sound & white noise for fricative sound; or quantized f<sub>M</sub>[n] if b.w. allowed)

#### **Detailed Derivations**

#### Review: Recursive Relation of Correlation Matrix



## Matrix Inversion Lemma for Homework

$$(A + BCD)^{-1} = A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(C^{-1} + DA^{-1}B)^{-1}DA^{-1}$$
  
Special case: 
$$(A + \underline{u} \underline{v}^{H})^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}\underline{u} \underline{v}^{H}A^{-1}}{(+\underline{v}^{H}A^{-1}\underline{u})}$$
  

$$(I + \underline{u} \underline{v}^{H})^{-1} = I - \frac{\underline{u} \underline{v}^{H}}{(+\underline{v}^{H}\underline{u})}$$

# Summary: General Wiener vs. FLP

	General Wiener	Forward LP	Backward LP
Tap input	<u>×[n]</u>	<u>u[n - 1]</u>	
Desired response	d[n]	u[n]	
(conj) Weight vector	$\underline{c} = \underline{a}^*$	<u>C</u>	
Estimated sig	<i>â</i> [n]	$\hat{d}[n] = \underline{c}^H \underline{u}[n-1]$	
Estimation error	e[n]	$f_M[n]$	
Correlation matrix	R <sub>M</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_{M}$	
Cross-corr vector	<u>p</u>	<u>r</u>	
MMSE	$J_{\min}$	$P_M$	
Normal Equation	$\mathbf{R}\underline{c} = \underline{p}$	<b>R</b> <u>c</u> = <u>r</u>	
Augmented N.E.		$\mathbf{R}_{M+1}\underline{a}_{M} = \left[\begin{array}{c} P_{M} \\ \underline{0} \end{array}\right]$	

(return)

## Summary: General Wiener vs. FLP vs. BLP

	General	Forward	Backward
	Wiener	LP	LP
Tap input	<u>×[n]</u>	$\underline{u}[n-1]$	<u>u[n]</u>
Desired response	d[n]	u[n]	u[n-M]
(conj) Weight vector	$\underline{c} = \underline{a}^*$	<u>C</u>	g
Estimated sig	<i>â</i> [n]	$\hat{d}[n] = \underline{c}^H \underline{u}[n-1]$	$\hat{d}[n] = \underline{g}^H \underline{u}[n]$
Estimation error	e[n]	$f_M[n]$	$b_M[n]$
Correlation matrix	R <sub>M</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_{M}$	$\mathbf{R}_M$
Cross-corr vector	<u>p</u>	<u>r</u>	$\underline{r}^{B^*}$
MMSE	$J_{\min}$	$P_M$	$P_M$
Normal Equation	<b>R</b> <u>c</u> = <u>p</u>	<b>R</b> <u>c</u> = <u>r</u>	$\mathbf{R}\underline{g} = \underline{r}^{B^*}$
Augmented N.E.		$\mathbf{R}_{M+1}\underline{a}_{M} = \left[\begin{array}{c} P_{M} \\ \underline{0} \end{array}\right]$	$\mathbf{R}_{M+1}\underline{a}_{M}^{B^{*}} = \left[\begin{array}{c}\underline{0}\\P_{M}\end{array}\right]$